

Partial Metrics, Quasi-metrics and Oriented Hypercubes

Michel Deza

Ecole Normale Supérieure, Paris, and JAIST, Ishikawa

Overview

- 1 General quasi-semi-metrics
- 2 Weightable q-s-metrics and equivalent notions
- 3 l_1 Quasi-metrics
- 4 The cones under consideration
- 5 Path quasi-metrics of oriented hypercubes
- 6 Hamiltonian orientations of hypercubes
- 7 Unique-sink orientations of hypercubes
- 8 References

Quasi-semi-metrics

Given a set X , a function $q : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ with $q(x, x)=0$ is a **quasi-distance** (or, in Topology, **prametric**) on X .

- A quasi-distance q is a **quasi-semi-metric** if for $x, y, z \in X$ it holds (**oriented triangle inequality**)

$$q(x, y) \leq q(x, z) + q(z, y)$$

- q' given by $q'(x, y)=q(y, x)$ is **dual** quasi-semi-metric to q .
- (X, q) can be partially ordered by the **specialization order**:
 $x \preceq y$ if and only if $q(x, y)=0$.

Discrete quasi-metric on poset $(X, \leq)$ is $q_{\leq}(x, y)=0$ if $x \preceq y$ and $=1$ else; for $(X, q_{\leq})$, order $\preceq$ coincides with $\leq$.

Quasi-semi-metrics

Given a set X , a function $q : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ with $q(x, x) = 0$ is a **quasi-distance** (or, in Topology, **prametric**) on X .

- A quasi-distance q is a **quasi-semi-metric** if for $x, y, z \in X$ it holds (**oriented triangle inequality**)

$$q(x, y) \leq q(x, z) + q(z, y)$$

- q' given by $q'(x, y) = q(y, x)$ is **dual** quasi-semi-metric to q .
- (X, q) can be partially ordered by the **specialization order**:
 $x \preceq y$ if and only if $q(x, y) = 0$.

Discrete quasi-metric on poset $(X, \leq)$ is $q_{\leq}(x, y) = 0$ if $x \preceq y$ and $=1$ else; for $(X, q_{\leq})$, order $\preceq$ coincides with $\leq$.

- A **weak quasi-metric** is a quasi-semi-metric q with **weak symmetry**: $q(x, y) = q(y, x)$ whenever $q(y, x) = 0$.
- An **Albert quasi-metric** is a quasi-semi-metric q with **weak definiteness**: $x = y$ whenever $q(x, y) = q(y, x) = 0$.

Quasi-metrics

A **quasi-metric** (or asymmetric, directed, oriented metric) is a quasi-semi-metric q with **definiteness**: $x = y$ iff $q(x, y) = 0$.

A **quasi-metric space** (X, q) is a set X with a quasi-metric q .

Asymmetric distances were introduced by Hausdorff in 1914.

Real world examples: one-way streets milage, travel time, transportation costs (up/downhill or up/downstream).

Quasi-metrics

A **quasi-metric** (or asymmetric, directed, oriented metric) is a quasi-semi-metric q with **definiteness**: $x = y$ iff $q(x, y) = 0$.

A **quasi-metric space** (X, q) is a set X with a quasi-metric q . Asymmetric distances were introduced by Hausdorff in 1914.

Real world examples: one-way streets milage, travel time, transportation costs (up/downhill or up/downstream).

A quasi-metric q is **non-Archimedean** (or **quasi-ultrametric**) if it satisfy strengthened oriented triangle inequality

$$q(x, y) \leq \max\{q(x, z), q(z, y)\} \text{ for all } x, y, z \in X.$$

Cf. symmetric: distance, semi-metric, metric, ultrametric.

For a quasi-metric q , the functions $\frac{(q^p(x, y) + q^p(y, x))^{\frac{1}{p}}}{2}$, $p \geq 1$, (usually, $p = 1$ and $\frac{q(x, y) + q(y, x)}{2}$ is called **symmetrization** of q), $\max\{q(x, y), q(y, x)\}$, $\min\{q(x, y), q(y, x)\}$ are **metrics**.

Example: gauge quasi-metric

Given a compact convex region $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ containing origin, the **convex distance function** (or **Minkowski distance function**, **gauge**) is the quasi-metric on $\mathbb{R}^n$ defined, for $x \neq y$, by

$$q_B(x, y) = \inf\{\alpha > 0 : y - x \in \alpha B\}.$$

Equivalently, it is $\frac{\|y-x\|_2}{\|z-x\|_2}$, where z is unique point of the boundary $\partial(x + B)$ hit by the ray from x via y .

It holds $B = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : q_B(0, x) \leq 1\}$ with equality only for $x \in \partial B$.

If B is centrally-symmetric with respect to the origin, then q_B is a **Minkowskian metric** whose unit ball is B .

Examples: quasi-metrics on $\mathbb{R}$, $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$, $\mathbb{S}^1$

- **Sorgenfrey quasi-metric** is a quasi-metric $q(x, y)$ on $\mathbb{R}$, equal to $y - x$ if $y \geq x$ and equal to 1, otherwise.
- Some similar quasi-metrics on $\mathbb{R}$ are:
 $q_1(x, y) = \max\{y - x, 0\}$ (**l_1 quasi-metric**),
 $q_2(x, y) = \min\{y - x, 1\}$ if $y \geq x$ and equal to 1, else,
 Given $a > 0$, $q_3(x, y) = y - x$ if $y \geq x$ and $=a(x - y)$, else.
 $q_4(x, y) = e^y - e^x$ if $y \geq x$ and equal to $e^{-y} - e^{-x}$, else.
- The **real half-line quasi-semi-metric** on $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ is $\max\{0, \ln \frac{y}{x}\}$.
- The **circular-railroad quasi-metric** is a quasi-metric on the **unit circle** $\mathbb{S}^1 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, defined, for any $x, y \in \mathbb{S}^1$, as the length of counter-clockwise circular arc from x to y in $\mathbb{S}^1$.

Digression: quasi-metrizable spaces

A topological space (X, τ) is called **quasi-metrizable space** if X admits a quasi-metric q such that the set of open q -balls $\{B(x, r) : r > 0\}$ form a neighborhood base at each $x \in X$.

More general **γ -space** is a topological space admitting a **γ -metric** q (a function $q : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ with $q(x, z_n) \rightarrow 0$ if $q(x, y_n) \rightarrow 0$ and $q(y_n, z_n) \rightarrow 0$) such that the set of open **forward** q -balls $\{B(x, r) : r > 0\}$ form a base at each $x \in X$.

Digression: quasi-metrizable spaces

A topological space (X, τ) is called **quasi-metrizable space** if X admits a quasi-metric q such that the set of open q -balls $\{B(x, r) : r > 0\}$ form a neighborhood base at each $x \in X$.

More general **γ -space** is a topological space admitting a **γ -metric** q (a function $q : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ with $q(x, z_n) \rightarrow 0$ if $q(x, y_n) \rightarrow 0$ and $q(y_n, z_n) \rightarrow 0$) such that the set of open **forward** q -balls $\{B(x, r) : r > 0\}$ form a base at each $x \in X$.

The **Sorgenfrey line** is the topological space $(\mathbb{R}, \tau)$ defined by the base $\{[a, b) : a, b \in \mathbb{R}, a < b\}$. It is not metrizable, 1st (not 2nd) countable paracompact (not locally compact) **T_5 -space**.

But it is quasi-metrizable by **Sorgenfrey quasi-metric**:
 $q(x, y) = y - x$ if $y \geq x$, and $q(x, y) = 1$, otherwise.

Digraph quasi-metric and metrics

- A **directed graph** (or **digraph**) is a pair $G = (V, A)$, where V is a set of vertices and A is a set of arcs.
- The **path quasi-metric** q_{dpath} in digraph $G=(V, A)$ is, for any $u, v \in V$, the length of a shortest $(u - v)$ path in G .
Example: **Web hyperlink quasi-metric** (or **click count**) is q_{dpath} between two web pages (vertices of Web digraph).
- The **circular metric** (in digraph) is $q_{dpath}(u, v) + q_{dpath}(v, u)$.

Digraph quasi-metric and metrics

- A **directed graph** (or **digraph**) is a pair $G = (V, A)$, where V is a set of vertices and A is a set of arcs.
- The **path quasi-metric** q_{dpath} in digraph $G=(V, A)$ is, for any $u, v \in V$, the length of a shortest $(u - v)$ path in G .
Example: **Web hyperlink quasi-metric** (or **click count**) is q_{dpath} between two web pages (vertices of Web digraph).
- The **circular metric** (in digraph) is $q_{dpath}(u, v) + q_{dpath}(v, u)$.
- Chartrand-Erwin-Raines-Zhang, 1999: the **strong metric** between $u, v \in V$ is the minimum number of edges of strongly connected subdigraph of G containing u and v .
- Chartrand-Erwin-Raines-Zhang, 2001: the **orientation metric** between 2 orientations D and D' of a graph is the minimum number of arcs of D whose directions must be reversed to produce an orientation isomorphic to D' .

Examples at large

- In Psychophysics, the **probability-distance hypothesis**: the probability with which one stimulus is discriminated from another is a (continuously increasing) function of some subjective quasi-metric between these stimuli.
- Østvang, 2001, proposed a quasi-metric framework for relativistic gravity.
- The **Thurston quasi-metric** on the **Teichmüller space** T_g is $\frac{1}{2} \inf_h \ln ||h||_{Lip}$ for any $R_1^*, R_2^* \in T_g$, where $h : R_1 \rightarrow R_2$ is a quasi-conformal homeomorphism, homotopic to the identity, and $||\cdot||_{Lip}$ is the **Lipschitz norm** on the set of all injective functions $f : X \rightarrow Y$ defined by

$$||f||_{Lip} = \sup_{x,y \in X, x \neq y} \frac{d_Y(f(x), f(y))}{d_X(x,y)}.$$

Point-set distance and its applications

- In a (quasi)-metric space (X, d) , the **point-set distance** between $x \in X$ and $A \subset X$ is $d(x, A) = \inf_{y \in A} d(x, y)$,
The function $f_A(x) = d(x, A)$ is **distance map**.
Distance maps are used in MRI (A is gray/white matter interface) as cortical maps, in Image Processing (A is image boundary), in Robot Motion (A is obstacle points set).


- $A \subset X$ is **Chebyshev set** if for each $x \in X$, there is unique **element of best approximation**:

$$y \in A \text{ with } d(x, y) = d(x, A).$$

If $A \subset X$ (usually, A is the boundary of a solid $X \subset \mathbb{R}^3$),
skeleton of X is $\{x \in X : |\{y \in A : d(x, y) = d(x, A)\}| > 1\}$,
i.e. all boundary points of **Voronoi regions** of points of A .

- The **directed Hausdorff distance** (on compact subspaces of (X, d)) is $q_{dHaus}(B, A) = \sup_{x \in B} d(x, A)$. The **Hausdorff metric** is $d_{Haus}(A, B) = \max\{q_{dHaus}(A, B), q_{dHaus}(B, A)\}$.

Hausdorff distance



<http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/User:Rocchini>

A generalization: approach space

An **approach space** (Lowe, 1989) is a pair (X, D) , where X is a set, and D is a **point-set function**, i.e., a function $D : X \times P(X) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ (where $P(X)$ is the set of subsets of X) satisfying, for all $x \in X$ and all $A, B \subset X$, to:

- ① $D(x, \{x\}) = 0$;
- ② $D(x, \{\emptyset\}) = \infty$;
- ③ $D(x, A \cup B) = \min\{D(x, A), D(x, B)\}$;
- ④ $D(x, A) \leq D(x, A^\epsilon) + \epsilon$, for any $\epsilon \geq 0$
(here $A^\epsilon = \{x : D(x, A) \leq \epsilon\}$ is “ ϵ -ball” with the center x).

Any **quasi-semi-metric space** (X, q) is an approach space with $D(x, A) = \min_{y \in A} q(x, y)$ (usual point-set distance).

Weightable quasi-semi-metrics

- A **weightable quasi-semi-metric** is a q-s-metric q on X admitting a weight function $w(x) \in \mathbb{R}$ on X with $q(x, y) - q(y, x) = w(y) - w(x)$ for all $x, y \in X$, i.e., $q(x, y) + \frac{1}{2}(w(x) - w(y))$ is its **symmetrization semi-metric** $\frac{q(x,y)+q(y,x)}{2}$.
- $w(x) + C$ is also such weight function for any constant C . If the set $\{q(x, y_0) - q(y_0, x)\}$ is bounded, then weight can be non-negative; then call $w'(x) = w(x) - \min_{y \in X} w(y) \geq 0$ **normalized weight function**.
- q is weightable iff $q(x, y) + w(x)$ is **partial semi-metric**.
- **Example**. Let q be quasi-metric on $X = V_3 = \{1, 2, 3\}$ with $q_{21} = q_{23} = 2$ and $q_{ij} = 1$ for other $1 \leq i \neq j \leq 3$. Then q is weightable with weight $w(i)=1, 0, 1$ for $i=1, 2, 3$.

Partial semi-metrics

A function $p : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ with $p(x, y) = p(y, x)$ is a **partial semi-metric** (Matthews, 1992) if for $x, y, z \in X$, it holds

- 1) $p(x, x) \leq p(x, y)$ and
- 2) **sharp triangle inequality**:

$$p(x, y) \leq p(x, z) + p(z, y) - p(z, z).$$

Dropping 1): **weak partial semi-metric**. Example: $(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, x+y)$.
If, moreover, 2) is weakened to $p(x, y) \leq p(x, z) + p(z, y)$, then p is a **dislocated metric** (or Matthews **metric domain**).

Partial semi-metrics

A function $p : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ with $p(x, y) = p(y, x)$ is a **partial semi-metric** (Matthews, 1992) if for $x, y, z \in X$, it holds

- 1) $p(x, x) \leq p(x, y)$ and
- 2) **sharp triangle inequality**:

$$p(x, y) \leq p(x, z) + p(z, y) - p(z, z).$$

Dropping 1): **weak partial semi-metric**. Example: $(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, x+y)$.

If, moreover, 2) is weakened to $p(x, y) \leq p(x, z) + p(z, y)$, then p is a **dislocated metric** (or Matthews **metric domain**).

Function p is a partial semi-metric iff $q = p(x, y) - p(x, x)$ is a **weightable q-s-metric** with $w(x) = p(x, x)$ and p is **partial metric** (i.e. T_0 -separation holds: $x=y$ if $p(x, x) = p(x, y) = p(y, y) = 0$) if and only if, moreover, q is an **Albert quasi-metric**.

Güldürek and Richmond, 2005: every topology on a finite set X is defined, for $x \in X$, by $cl\{x\} = \{y \in X : y \preceq x\}$, where $x \preceq y$ means $p(x, y) = p(x, x)$ for a partial semi-metric p .

Weak partial semi-metrics

A function $p : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ with $p(x, y) = p(y, x)$ is a **weak partial semi-metric** (Heckmann, 1997) if for all $x, y, z \in X$, it holds $p(x, y) \leq p(x, z) + p(z, y) - p(z, z)$. For $x=y$, it gives the weakening $p(x, z) \geq \frac{p(x, x) + p(z, z)}{2}$ of $p(x, z) \geq p(x, x)$.

On any set X , $d(x, y) = p(x, y) - \frac{p(x, x) + p(y, y)}{2}$, $w(x) = \frac{p(x, x)}{2}$ and $p(x, y) = d(x, y) + w(x) + w(y)$ is a bijection between weak partial semi-metrics p and weighted semi-metrics (d, w) ($w : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$). Moreover, p is partial metric iff d is metric.

Weak partial semi-metrics

A function $p : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ with $p(x, y) = p(y, x)$ is a **weak partial semi-metric** (Heckmann, 1997) if for all $x, y, z \in X$, it holds $p(x, y) \leq p(x, z) + p(z, y) - p(z, z)$. For $x=y$, it gives the weakening $p(x, z) \geq \frac{p(x, x) + p(z, z)}{2}$ of $p(x, z) \geq p(x, x)$.

On any set X , $d(x, y) = p(x, y) - \frac{p(x, x) + p(y, y)}{2}$, $w(x) = \frac{p(x, x)}{2}$ and $p(x, y) = d(x, y) + w(x) + w(y)$ is a bijection between weak partial semi-metrics p and weighted semi-metrics (d, w) ($w : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$). Moreover, p is partial metric iff d is metric.

In weak partial semi-metric space (X, p) , define **open ball** $B(x, r) = \{y \in X : p(x, y) < r\}$. Call $U \subset X$ **open** if for all $x \in U$ there is $\epsilon > 0$ with $B(x, \epsilon) \subset U$. The open sets form topology with basis the balls $B(x, r)$; in general, not T_2 (Hausdorff).

Its **specialization preorder** induced by p is $x \preceq y$ if and only if $p(x, y) = p(x, x)$. It is partial order iff p is weak partial metric.

Digression on Semantics of Computation

A poset $(X, x \preceq y)$ is **dcpo** if it has a smallest element and each **directed subset** $A \subset X$ (i.e. $A \neq \emptyset$ and for any $x, y \in A$, exists $z \in A$ with $x, y \preceq z$) has a supremum $\sup A$ in X .

Let X^C be the set of **compact** $x \in X$, i.e. for each directed subset A with $x \preceq \sup A$, there is $a \in A$ with $x \preceq a$.

A **Scott domain** is a dcpo where all sets $\{a \in X^C : a \preceq x\}$ are directed with $\sup = x$ and each **consistent** $A \subset X$ (i.e. there exists $x \in X$ with $a \preceq x$ for all $a \in A$) has supremum in X .

Main examples: all words over finite alphabet with prefix order, all *vague real numbers* (nonempty segments of $\mathbb{R}$) with reverse inclusion order, all subsets of $\mathbb{N}$ under inclusion

Digression on Semantics of Computation

A poset $(X, x \preceq y)$ is **dcpo** if it has a smallest element and each **directed subset** $A \subset X$ (i.e. $A \neq \emptyset$ and for any $x, y \in A$, exists $z \in A$ with $x, y \preceq z$) has a supremum $\sup A$ in X .

Let X^C be the set of **compact** $x \in X$, i.e. for each directed subset A with $x \preceq \sup A$, there is $a \in A$ with $x \preceq a$.

A **Scott domain** is a dcpo where all sets $\{a \in X^C : a \preceq x\}$ are directed with $\sup = x$ and each **consistent** $A \subset X$ (i.e. there exists $x \in X$ with $a \preceq x$ for all $a \in A$) has supremum in X .

Main examples: all words over finite alphabet with prefix order, all *vague real numbers* (nonempty segments of $\mathbb{R}$) with reverse inclusion order, all subsets of $\mathbb{N}$ under inclusion

Quantitative Domain Theory: a "distance" between programs (points of a semantic domain) is used to quantify speed (of processing or convergence) or complexity of programs.

$x \preceq y$ (program y contains all info from x) is **specialization preorder** ($x \preceq y$ iff $p(x, y) = p(x, x)$) for a **partial metric** p on X .

Quantale-valued partial metrics

Scott's domain theory gave partial order and non-Hausdorff topology on partial objects in computation.

In computation over a metric space of totally defined objects, partial metric models partially defined information: $p(x, x) > 0$ ($=0$) mean that object x is partially (**totally**) defined.

A **quantale** is a complete lattice M with an associative binary operation $*$ with $x * \bigvee_{i \in I} y_i = \bigvee_{i \in I} (x * y_i)$, $\bigvee_{i \in I} y_i * x = \bigvee_{i \in I} (y_i * x)$.
Koopman-Mattews-Rajoonesh, 2004: any topology can arise from a quantale-valued partial metric.

Quantale-valued partial metrics

Scott's domain theory gave partial order and non-Hausdorff topology on partial objects in computation.

In computation over a metric space of totally defined objects, partial metric models partially defined information: $p(x, x) > 0$ ($=0$) mean that object x is partially (**totally**) defined.

A **quantale** is a complete lattice M with an associative binary operation $*$ with $x * \bigvee_{i \in I} y_i = \bigvee_{i \in I} (x * y_i)$, $\bigvee_{i \in I} y_i * x = \bigvee_{i \in I} (y_i * x)$.
 Kooperman-Mattews-Rajoonesh, 2004: any topology can arise from a quantale-valued partial metric.

Another way to see: fuzzy non-reflexive equalities. Hohle, 1992: for a commutative quantale $M = (M, \leq, 1, 0, \vee, \wedge, *)$, **multivalued** (**M-valued**) set is a set X equipped with a **fuzzy equality**, i.e., a map $E : X \times X \rightarrow M$ subject to $E(x, x) = 1$, $E(x, y) = E(y, x)$ and $E(x, y) * E(y, z) \leq E(x, z)$ for $x, y, z \in X$.

$WQSMET_n$ and $PSMET_n$, $wPSMET_n$

Clearly, all weightable quasi-semi-metrics on n -set $X = [n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ form a polyhedral convex cone of dimension $\binom{n}{2} + n = \binom{n+1}{2}$. Denote it by $WQSMET_n$.

$WQSMET_n$ is the section of $QSMET_n$ by $\binom{n}{3}$ hyperplanes $xyzx = xzyx$ of **relaxed symmetry** defined next.

Denote by $PSMET_n$ and $wPSMET_n$ the cones of partial and weak partial semi-metrics on n -points.

They have $3\binom{n}{3} + n^2$ and $3\binom{n}{3} + \binom{n+1}{2}$ facets, respectively. They are relaxations of $\binom{n}{2}$ -dim. cone $SMET_n$ of all n -points semi-metrics.

Relaxed and cyclic symmetry

- Quasi-semi-metric q on X has **relaxed symmetry** ($xyzx = xzyx$) if for different $x, y, z \in X$ it holds
$$q(x, y) + q(y, z) + q(z, x) = q(x, z) + q(z, y) + q(y, x), \text{ i.e. } q(x, y) - q(y, x) = (q(z, y) - q(y, z)) - (q(z, x) - q(x, z)),$$
Equivalently, q is **weightable**: fix point z_0 and define
$$w(x) = q(z_0, x) - q(x, z_0).$$

Relaxed and cyclic symmetry

- Quasi-semi-metric q on X has **relaxed symmetry** ($xyzx = xzyx$) if for different $x, y, z \in X$ it holds

$$q(x, y) + q(y, z) + q(z, x) = q(x, z) + q(z, y) + q(y, x), \text{ i.e.}$$

$$q(x, y) - q(y, x) = (q(z, y) - q(y, z)) - (q(z, x) - q(x, z)),$$
 Equivalently, q is **weightable**: fix point z_0 and define $w(x) = q(z_0, x) - q(x, z_0)$.
- Given $k \geq 3$, quasi-semi-metric q is **k -cyclically symmetric** if $x_1 x_2 x_3 \dots x_k x_1 = x_1 x_k x_{k-1} \dots x_2 x_1$, for $x_1 x_2 \dots x_k \in X$.
 The case $k = 3$ (relaxed symmetry) is equivalent to the general case of any $k \geq 3$. For example, for $k = 4$,

$$(x_1 x_2 x_3 x_1 - x_1 x_3 x_2 x_1) + (x_1 x_3 x_4 x_1 - x_1 x_4 x_3 x_1) =$$

$$x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4 x_1 - x_1 x_4 x_3 x_2 x_1 \text{ and, in other direction,}$$

$$(x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4 x_1 - x_1 x_4 x_3 x_2 x_1) + (x_1 x_2 x_4 x_3 x_1 - x_1 x_3 x_4 x_2 x_1) +$$

$$(x_1 x_4 x_2 x_3 x_1 - x_1 x_3 x_2 x_4 x_1) = 2 (x_1 x_2 x_3 x_1 - x_1 x_3 x_2 x_1).$$

Realizations by weighted (di)graphs

- Any finite semi-metric d is the shortest path semi-metric of a $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ -weighted graph G .
 G can be a tree if and only if d satisfy to **4-points inequality**:
 $d(x, y) + d(z, u) \leq \max\{d(x, z) + d(y, u), d(x, u) + d(y, z)\}.$

Realizations by weighted (di)graphs

- Any finite semi-metric d is the shortest path semi-metric of a $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ -weighted graph G .
 G can be a tree if and only if d satisfy to **4-points inequality**:
 $d(x, y) + d(z, u) \leq \max\{d(x, z) + d(y, u), d(x, u) + d(y, z)\}.$
- Any finite quasi-semi-metric q is the shortest path q-s-metric of a $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ -weighted digraph G .
Patrinos-Hakimi, 1972: G can be a **bidirectional tree** (a tree with all edges replaced by 2 oppositely directed arcs) if and only if q is **weightable** and $q(x, y) + q(y, x)$ is tree-realizable.

Weightable hitting time quasi-metric

Given connected graph $G = (V, E)$ with $|E| = m$, consider random walks on G , where at each step walk moves with uniform probability from current vertex a neighboring one.

The **hitting time quasi-metric** $H(u, v)$ from $u \in V$ to $v \in V$ is the expected number of steps (edges) for a random walk on G beginning at u to reach v for the first time; put $H(u, u) = 0$. This quasi-metric is **weightable**.

Weightable hitting time quasi-metric

Given connected graph $G = (V, E)$ with $|E| = m$, consider random walks on G , where at each step walk moves with uniform probability from current vertex a neighboring one.

The **hitting time quasi-metric** $H(u, v)$ from $u \in V$ to $v \in V$ is the expected number of steps (edges) for a random walk on G beginning at u to reach v for the first time; put $H(u, u) = 0$. This quasi-metric is **weightable**.

The **commuting time metric** is $C(u, v) = H(u, v) + H(v, u)$. It holds $C(u, v) = 2m\Omega(u, v)$, where $\Omega(u, v)$ is the **effective resistance metric**: 0 if $u = v$ and, else, $\frac{1}{\Omega(u, v)}$ is the current flowing into grounded v when potential 1 volt is applied to u (each edge is seen as a resistor of 1 ohm). $\Omega(u, v)$ is

$$\sup_{f: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, D(f) > 0} \frac{(f(u) - f(v))^2}{D(f)} \text{ with } D(f) = \sum_{st \in E} (f(s) - f(t))^2.$$

z_0 -derivations of semi-metrics

Given semi-metric space (X, d) and $z_0 \in X$, its **z_0 -derivation** is q -s-metric $q(x, y) = \frac{1}{2}(d(x, y) + d(y, z_0) - d(x, z_0))$. So, $d = q + q'$, q is weightable with $w(x) = d(x, z_0) = q(z_0, x)$ and $q(x, z_0) = 0$.

Weightable q -s-metric q is z_0 -derivation of $q + q'$ iff $q(x, z_0) = 0$.

Quasi-metric q is **z_0 -derivation** of a metric d iff **partial metric** $p(x, y) = q(x, y) + w(x)$ is $\frac{1}{2}(d(x, y) + d(y, z_0) + d(x, z_0))$.

Clearly, z_0 -derivations of semi-metrics $d \in \text{SMET}_n$ for fixed $z_0 = i \in X = [n]$ form a cone **$D_i \text{WQSMET}_n$** $\subset \text{WQSMET}_n$.

Any inequality $\sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} a_{ij} d_{ij} \geq 0$, valid for $d \in \text{SMET}_n$, implies, valid for $q \in D_{z_0} \text{WQSMET}_n$, inequality

$$\sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} a_{ij} q_{ij} + \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} a_{ij} d(j, z_0) - \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} a_{ij} d(i, z_0) \geq 0.$$

l_p -quasi-metrics

- On a **normed vector space** $(V, ||\cdot||)$, its **norm metric** is

$$||x - y||$$

.

The **l_p -metric** is $||x - y||_p$ norm metric on $\mathbb{R}^m$ (or on $\mathbb{C}^m$):

$||x||_p = (\sum_{i=1}^m |x_i|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ for $p \geq 1$ and $||x||_\infty = \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} |x_i|$.

The **Euclidean metric** (or **Pythagorean distance**, **as-crow-flies distance**, **beeline distance**) is l_2 -metric on $\mathbb{R}^m$.

l_p -quasi-metrics

- On a **normed vector space** $(V, ||\cdot||)$, its **norm metric** is

$$||x - y||$$

The **l_p -metric** is $||x - y||_p$ norm metric on $\mathbb{R}^m$ (or on $\mathbb{C}^m$):

$$||x||_p = (\sum_{i=1}^m |x_i|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} \text{ for } p \geq 1 \text{ and } ||x||_\infty = \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} |x_i|.$$

The **Euclidean metric** (or **Pythagorean distance**, **as-crow-flies distance**, **beeline distance**) is l_2 -metric on $\mathbb{R}^m$.

- l_p -quasi-metric** on $\mathbb{R}^m$ is z_0 -derivation of l_p -metric with $z_0 = (0, \dots, 0)$, i.e. it is **oriented l_p -norm** $||x - y||_{p, or} = (\sum_{i=1}^m |x_i - y_i|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} + (\sum_{i=1}^m |y_i|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} - (\sum_{i=1}^m |x_i|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ and

$l_{p, or}^m$ is the quasi-metric space $(\mathbb{R}^m, ||x - y||_{p, or})$,

l_p -QSMET $_n$ is the set of all l_p q-s-metrics on n points; it is (as for semi-metrics) a cone exactly for $p = 1, \infty$.

- $(l_2\text{-QSMET}_n)^2 = \{q^2 : q \in l_2\text{-QSMET}_n\}$** is a cone also.

l_1 and l_∞ quasi-metrics

- In particular, **l_1 -quasi-metric** on $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^m$ is

$$\sum_{i=1}^m (|x_i - y_i| + |y_i| - |x_i|) = 2 \sum_{i=1}^m \max\{y_i - x_i, 0\}$$
 and **l_∞ -quasi-metric** is $2 \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} \max\{y_i - x_i, 0\}$.
- Any q-s-metric q on n points **embeds in $l_{1,or}^m$ for some m iff**
 $q \in OCUT_n$ (the cone generated by all oriented cuts on $[n]$).
- Any q-s-metric q on n points **embeds into $l_{\infty,or}^n$.**
 In fact, let $v_1, \dots, v_n \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be

$$v_i = (q(i, 1), q(i, 2), \dots, q(i, n)).$$
 Then $\|v_i - v_j\|_{\infty, or} = \max_k (q(j, k) - q(i, k), 0) \leq q(j, i)$,
 while $q(j, i) - q(i, i) = q(j, i)$; so, $\|v_i - v_j\|_{\infty, or} = q(j, i)$.

l_1 and l_∞ quasi-metrics

- In particular, **l_1 -quasi-metric** on $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^m$ is $\sum_{i=1}^m (|x_i - y_i| + |y_i| - |x_i|) = 2 \sum_{i=1}^m \max\{y_i - x_i, 0\}$ and **l_∞ -quasi-metric** is $2 \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} \max\{y_i - x_i, 0\}$.
- Any q-s-metric q on n points **embeds in $l_{1,or}^m$ for some m iff $q \in OCUT_n$** (the cone generated by all oriented cuts on $[n]$).
- Any q-s-metric q on n points **embeds into $l_{\infty,or}^n$** .

In fact, let $v_1, \dots, v_n \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be

$$v_i = (q(i, 1), q(i, 2), \dots, q(i, n)).$$

Then $\|v_i - v_j\|_{\infty, or} = \max_k (q(j, k) - q(i, k), 0) \leq q(j, i)$,

while $q(j, i) - q(i, i) = q(j, i)$; so, $\|v_i - v_j\|_{\infty, or} = q(j, i)$.

Example: on $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, to the partial metric $p(x, y) = \max\{x, y\}$ corresponds **l_1 quasi-metric** $q(x, y) = \max\{x, y\} - x = \max\{y - x, 0\}$ with weight $w(x) = x$ and

$$d(x, y) = \frac{q(x, y) + q(y, x)}{2} = \frac{|x - y|}{2} = p(x, y) - \frac{x + y}{2} \quad (\text{twice } l_1 \text{ metric}).$$

Embedding between l_p quasi-metrics

Clearly, any isometric embedding f of semi-metric spaces (X, d_X) into (Y, d_Y) is isometric embedding of z_0 -derivations of (X, d_X) into $f(z_0)$ -derivation of (Y, d_Y) .

So (as well as for semi-metrics), it holds:

- Any l_p -quasi-metric with $1 \leq p \leq 2$ is a l_1 -quasi-metric.
- Any l_1 -quasi-metric is the square of a l_2 -quasi-metric.
- Any quasi-metric is a l_∞ -quasi-metric.

So, $l_2\text{-QSMET}_n \subset l_1\text{-QSMET}_n \subset (l_2\text{-QSMET}_n)^2$ holds; it generalizes $l_2\text{-SMET}_n \subset l_1\text{-SMET}_n \subset (l_2\text{-SMET}_n)^2$, where, for semi-metrics, $(l_2\text{-SMET}_n)^2$ is the **negative type cone** NEG_n and $l_1\text{-SMET}_n$ is the **cut cone** CUT_n .

Measure quasi-semi-metric versus l_1

- Given a **measure space** $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$, the **symmetric difference** (or **measure**) **semi-metric** on the set $\mathcal{A}_\mu = \{A \in \mathcal{A} : \mu(A) < \infty\}$ is $\mu(A \triangle B)$ (where $A \triangle B = (A \cup B) \setminus (A \cap B) = (A \setminus B) \cup (B \setminus A)$ is the **symmetric difference** of sets A, B) and 0 if $\mu(A \triangle B) = 0$. Identifying $A, B \in \mathcal{A}_\mu$ if $\mu(A \triangle B) = 0$, gives the **measure metric**. If $\mu(A) = |A|$, then $\mu(A \triangle B) = |A \triangle B|$ is a metric.

Measure quasi-semi-metric versus l_1

- Given a **measure space** $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$, the **symmetric difference** (or **measure**) **semi-metric** on the set $\mathcal{A}_\mu = \{A \in \mathcal{A} : \mu(A) < \infty\}$ is $\mu(A \triangle B)$ (where $A \triangle B = (A \cup B) \setminus (A \cap B) = (A \setminus B) \cup (B \setminus A)$ is the **symmetric difference** of sets A, B) and 0 if $\mu(A \triangle B) = 0$. Identifying $A, B \in \mathcal{A}_\mu$ if $\mu(A \triangle B) = 0$, gives the **measure metric**. If $\mu(A) = |A|$, then $\mu(A \triangle B) = |A \triangle B|$ is a metric.
- Measure quasi-semi-metric** on the set $\mathcal{A}_\mu$ is z_0 -derivation of the measure semi-metric for $z_0 = \emptyset$, i.e. it is $q(A, B) = \mu(A \triangle B) + \mu(B) - \mu(A) = \mu(B \setminus A)$.

In fact (as well as in the metric case), a q-s-metric is l_1 -quasi-metric **if and only if** it is a measure quasi-metric.


n -cube: inclusion (Boolean) orientation

Label vertices of n -cube by numbers $0, \dots, 2^n - 1$; their binary expansions label all subsets A of $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$.

Hasse diagram of the **Boolean lattice** $2^{[n]}$ is **inclusion-oriented n -cube**: do arc from A to B if $A \subset B$ and $|B \setminus A| = 1$.

Its **path quasi-semi-metric** is $|B \setminus A|$ if $A \subset B$ and $= \infty$, else, while **Hamming semi-distance** is l_1 quasi-metric $|B \setminus A|$, i.e.

$$|B \setminus (B \cap A)| = \sum_{i=1}^n \max\{1_{i \in B} - 1_{i \in A}, 0\} = \sum_{i=1}^n 1_{i \in B} (1 - 1_{i \in A}).$$



The cones under consideration

$$l_1 SMET_n = CUT_n = MCUT_n = BSMET_n \subset SMET_n = l_\infty SMET_n;$$

$$l_1 QSMET_n = OCUT_n \subset WQSMET_n \subset QSMET_n = l_\infty QSMET_n,$$

and $OCUT_n \subset OMCUT_n \subset BQSMET_n \subset QSMET_n$, where

$MCUT_n$, $OMCUT_n$ are generated by multicuts, o-multicuts, and $BSMET_n$, $BQSMET_n$ are generated by $\{0, 1\}$ -valued semi-metrics, $\{0, 1\}$ -valued quasi-semi-metrics.

Also, $l_1-PSMET_n = BPSMET_n \subset PSMET_n$, where

$$PSMET_n = \{p = ((p_{ij} = q_{ij} + w_i)) : q = ((q_{ij})) \in WQSMET_n,$$

$$l_1-PSMET_n = \{p = ((p_{ij} = q_{ij} + w_i)) : q = ((q_{ij})) \in OCUT_n, \text{ and}$$

$BPSMET_n$ is generated by $\{0, 1\}$ -valued $p \in PSMET_n$.

Oriented cut quasi-semi-metrics

Given a subset S of $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$, the **oriented cut quasi-semi-metric** (or **o-cut**) $\delta(S)'$ is a quasi-semi-metric on $[n]$:

$$\delta'_{ij}(S) = |(S \cap \{i\}) \setminus (S \cap \{j\})| = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i \in S, j \notin S, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$\delta'(S)$ is, for any $z_0 \in \overline{S}$, **z_0 -derivation** of the **cut semi-metric** $\delta(S) = \delta'(S) + \delta'([n] \setminus S)$ (twice of symmetrization of $\delta'(S)$).
Quasi-semi-metric $\delta'(S)$ is **weightable** with $w(i) = 1_{i \notin S}$.

Oriented cut quasi-semi-metrics

Given a subset S of $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$, the **oriented cut quasi-semi-metric** (or **o-cut**) $\delta(S)'$ is a quasi-semi-metric on $[n]$:

$$\delta'_{ij}(S) = |(S \cap \{i\}) \setminus (S \cap \{j\})| = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i \in S, j \notin S, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$\delta'(S)$ is, for any $z_0 \in \bar{S}$, **z_0 -derivation** of the **cut semi-metric** $\delta(S) = \delta'(S) + \delta'([n] \setminus S)$ (twice of symmetrization of $\delta'(S)$).
Quasi-semi-metric $\delta'(S)$ is **weightable** with $w(i) = 1_{i \notin S}$.

Oriented cut cone $OCUT_n$ is $\binom{n+1}{2}$ -dimensional subcone of $WQSMET_n$ generated by $2^n - 2$ non-zero o-cuts $\delta'(S)$ of $[n]$.
 $OCUT_n = l_1\text{-}QSMET_n$, the cone of n points l_1 q-s-metrics.

Oriented multicut quasi-semi-metrics

Given an **ordered partition** $\{S_1, \dots, S_t\}$, $t \geq 2$, of $[n]$, **oriented multicut quasi-semi-metric** (or **o-multicut**) $\delta'(S_1, \dots, S_t)$ is:

$$\delta'_{ij}(S_1, \dots, S_t) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i \in S_h, j \in S_m, m > h, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The **multicut semi-metric** $\delta(S_1, \dots, S_t)$ is symmetrization $\delta'(S_1, \dots, S_t) + \delta'(S_t, \dots, S_1)$ of q-s-metric $2\delta'(S_1, \dots, S_t)$.

Oriented multicut quasi-semi-metrics

Given an **ordered partition** $\{S_1, \dots, S_t\}$, $t \geq 2$, of $[n]$, **oriented multicut quasi-semi-metric** (or **o-multicut**) $\delta'(S_1, \dots, S_t)$ is:

$$\delta'_{ij}(S_1, \dots, S_t) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i \in S_h, j \in S_m, m > h, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The **multicut semi-metric** $\delta(S_1, \dots, S_t)$ is symmetrization $\delta'(S_1, \dots, S_t) + \delta'(S_t, \dots, S_1)$ of q-s-metric $2\delta'(S_1, \dots, S_t)$.

An o-multicut $\delta'(S_1, S_2)$ is exactly o-cut $\delta'(S_1)$.

Lemma: o-cuts are exactly weightable o-multicut q-s-metrics

In fact, let $i \in S_1, j \in S_2, k \in S_3$ in q-s-metric $q = \delta'_{ij}(S_1, \dots, S_q)$.

If q is weightable, then $q(i, j) = w(j) - w(i) = 1$. Impossible, since $q(i, k) = w(k) - w(i) = 1, q(j, k) = w(k) - w(j) = 1$.

Oriented cuts with $n = 3$

There are 7 oriented cut q-s-metrics on 3 points, given by binary $\binom{3}{2}$ -vectors indexed as (12, 13; 21, 23; 31, 32):

$$\delta'(\{\emptyset\}) = \delta'(\{1, 2, 3\}) = (0, 0; 0, 0; 0, 0),$$

$$\delta'(\{1\}) = (1, 1; 0, 0; 0, 0),$$

$$\delta'(\{2\}) = (0, 0; 1, 1; 0, 0),$$

$$\delta'(\{3\}) = (0, 0; 0, 0; 1, 1),$$

$$\delta'(\{1, 2\}) = (0, 1; 0, 1; 0, 0),$$

$$\delta'(\{1, 3\}) = (1, 0; 0, 0; 0, 1),$$

$$\delta'(\{2, 3\}) = (0, 0; 1, 0; 1, 0).$$

Oriented cuts with $n = 3$

There are 7 oriented cut q-s-metrics on 3 points, given by binary $\binom{3}{2}$ -vectors indexed as (12, 13; 21, 23; 31, 32):

$$\begin{aligned}\delta'(\{\emptyset\}) &= \delta'(\{1, 2, 3\}) = (0, 0; 0, 0; 0, 0), \\ \delta'(\{1\}) &= (1, 1; 0, 0; 0, 0), \\ \delta'(\{2\}) &= (0, 0; 1, 1; 0, 0), \\ \delta'(\{3\}) &= (0, 0; 0, 0; 1, 1), \\ \delta'(\{1, 2\}) &= (0, 1; 0, 1; 0, 0), \\ \delta'(\{1, 3\}) &= (1, 0; 0, 0; 0, 1), \\ \delta'(\{2, 3\}) &= (0, 0; 1, 0; 1, 0).\end{aligned}$$

Example. Let again q be quasi-metric on $X = V_3 = \{1, 2, 3\}$ with $q_{21} = q_{23} = 2$ and $q_{ij} = 1$ for other $1 \leq i \neq j \leq 3$.

Then $q = \delta'(\{1\}) + 2\delta'(\{2\}) + \delta'(\{3\})$, i.e. $q \in OCUT_3$.

Oriented multicut versus oriented cuts

There are 6 oriented multicut on 3 points, in addition to 7 oriented cuts, listed above:

$$\delta'(\{1\}, \{2\}, \{3\}) = (1, 1; 0, 1; 0, 0),$$

$$\delta'(\{2\}, \{1\}, \{3\}) = (0, 1; 1, 0; 0, 0),$$

$$\delta'(\{1\}, \{3\}, \{2\}) = (1, 1; 0, 0; 0, 1),$$

$$\delta'(\{2\}, \{3\}, \{1\}) = (0, 0; 1, 1; 1, 0),$$

$$\delta'(\{3\}, \{1\}, \{2\}) = (1, 0; 0, 1; 1, 1),$$

$$\delta'(\{3\}, \{2\}, \{1\}) = (0, 0; 1, 0; 1, 1).$$

Oriented multicuts versus oriented cuts

There are 6 oriented multicuts on 3 points, in addition to 7 oriented cuts, listed above:

$$\delta'(\{1\}, \{2\}, \{3\}) = (1, 1; 0, 1; 0, 0),$$

$$\delta'(\{2\}, \{1\}, \{3\}) = (0, 1; 1, 0; 0, 0),$$

$$\delta'(\{1\}, \{3\}, \{2\}) = (1, 1; 0, 0; 0, 1),$$

$$\delta'(\{2\}, \{3\}, \{1\}) = (0, 0; 1, 1; 1, 0),$$

$$\delta'(\{3\}, \{1\}, \{2\}) = (1, 0; 0, 1; 1, 1),$$

$$\delta'(\{3\}, \{2\}, \{1\}) = (0, 0; 1, 0; 1, 1).$$

Every **multicut** is $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ -linear combination of cuts, while any **oriented multicut** with $t > 2$ is a $\mathbb{R}$ -linear but not $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ -linear combination of o-cuts, since it is non-weightable q-s-metric.

The number of oriented multicuts on $[n]$ is **ordered Bell number** $Bo(n)$ (the sequence A00670 in Sloan's OEIS).

Linear description of $QSMET_n$

cone	dim.	Nr. of ext. rays (orbits)	Nr. of facets (orbits)	diam.
$OMCUT_3$				
$=QSMET_3$	6	12(2)	12(2)	2; 2
$OMCUT_4$	12	74(5)	72(4)	2; 2
$QSMET_4$	12	164(10)	36(2)	3; 2
$OMCUT_5$	20	540(9)	35320(194)	2; 3
$QSMET_5$	20	43590(229)	80(2)	3; 2
$OMCUT_6$	30	4682(19)	$> 2.1 \cdot 10^9 (> 1.6 \cdot 10^6)$	2; ?
$QSMET_6$	30	$> 1.8 \cdot 10^9 (> 1.2 \cdot 10^6)$	150(2)	?; 2

The orbits are under the symmetry group $Z_2 \times Sym(n)$: $n!$ permutations of $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$ and the reversal $(ij) \rightarrow (ji)$.

Linear description of $QSMET_n$

cone	dim.	Nr. of ext. rays (orbits)	Nr. of facets (orbits)	diam.
$OMCUT_3$				
$=QSMET_3$	6	12(2)	12(2)	2; 2
$OMCUT_4$	12	74(5)	72(4)	2; 2
$QSMET_4$	12	164(10)	36(2)	3; 2
$OMCUT_5$	20	540(9)	35320(194)	2; 3
$QSMET_5$	20	43590(229)	80(2)	3; 2
$OMCUT_6$	30	4682(19)	$> 2.1 \cdot 10^9 (> 1.6 \cdot 10^6)$	2; ?
$QSMET_6$	30	$> 1.8 \cdot 10^9 (> 1.2 \cdot 10^6)$	150(2)	?; 2

The orbits are under the symmetry group $Z_2 \times Sym(n)$: $n!$ permutations of $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$ and the reversal $(ij) \rightarrow (ji)$.

$QSMET_n$ has $n(n-1)^2$ **facets** in 2 orbits: $6\binom{n}{3}$ oriented triangle inequalities and $n(n-1)$ inequalities $q(x, y) \geq 0$.

Moreover, they are also facets of $OCUT_n$ and so, of cones $WQSMET_n$, $OMCUT_n$ and $BQSMET_n$ containing $OCUT_n$.

Cones on 3 points (all 6-dimensional)

The cone $OCUT_3$ of l_1 q-s-metrics on 3 points **coincides** with the cone of weightable quasi-semi-metrics $WQSMET_3$.

It has 6 extreme rays in 2 orbits of sizes 3, 3 represented by o-cuts $\delta'(\{1\}) = (1, 1; 0, 0; 0, 0)$ and $\delta'(\overline{\{1\}}) = (0, 0; 1, 0; 1, 0)$, and $9 = 6 + 3$ facets represented by $q_{ij} \geq 0$ and $Tr_{ij,k} \geq 0$.

Larger cone $OMCUT_3 = BQSMET_3 = QSMET_3$ has 12 extreme rays in 3 orbits represented by two above o-cuts and the **o-multicut** $\delta'(\{1\}, \{2\}, \{3\}) = (1, 1; 0, 1; 0, 0)$, and $12 = 6 + 6$ facets represented by $q_{ij} \geq 0$ and $Tr_{ij,k} \geq 0$.

Cone $l_1\text{-}PSMET_3 = PSMET_3$ has $13 = 1 + 3 + 3 + 3 + 3$ extreme rays represented by $(1, 1; 1, 1; 1, 1)$, $P(\delta'(\{1\}))$, $P(\delta'(\overline{\{1\}}))$, $P(\delta(\{1\})) = \delta(\{1\}) = \delta'(\{1\}) + \delta'(\overline{\{1\}})$, $P(\delta'(\{1\}) + \delta'(\{2\}))$, and $12 = 6 + 3 + 3$ facets repr. by $p_{ij} \geq p_{ii}$, $Tr_{ij,k} \geq p_{kk}$, $p_{ii} \geq 0$.

Anti-o-multicut quasi-semi-metrics

Given proper partition $\{S_1, \dots, S_t\}$, $2 \leq t \leq n$, of $\{1, \dots, n\}$, **anti-o-multicut q-s-metric** (or **anti-o-multicut**) $\alpha'(S_1, \dots, S_t)$ is $1 - \delta'_{ij}(S_1, \dots, S_t)$ if $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$ and $= 0$, else.

It is a $\{0, 1\}$ -valued q-s-metric, which is weightable iff $t=2$ (i.e. for **anti-o-cut** $\alpha'(S, \bar{S})$) with weight function $w(x) = 1_{x \in S}$.

Anticut semi-metric

$\alpha(S_t, \dots, S_1) = \alpha'(S_1, \dots, S_t) + \alpha'(S_t, \dots, S_1)$ (twice symmetrization) is graph path-metric $d(K_{|S_1|, \dots, |S_t|})$.

Anti-o-multicut quasi-semi-metrics

Given proper partition $\{S_1, \dots, S_t\}$, $2 \leq t \leq n$, of $\{1, \dots, n\}$, **anti-o-multicut q-s-metric** (or **anti-o-multicut**) $\alpha'(S_1, \dots, S_t)$ is $1 - \delta'_{ij}(S_1, \dots, S_t)$ if $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$ and $= 0$, else.

It is a $\{0, 1\}$ -valued q-s-metric, which is weightable iff $t=2$ (i.e. for **anti-o-cut** $\alpha'(S, \bar{S})$) with weight function $w(x) = 1_{x \in S}$.

Anticut semi-metric

$\alpha(S_t, \dots, S_1) = \alpha'(S_1, \dots, S_t) + \alpha'(S_t, \dots, S_1)$ (twice symmetrization) is graph path-metric $d(K_{|S_1|, \dots, |S_t|})$.

For **semi-metrics**, $SMET_n = CUT_n$ if $n \leq 4$, and all extreme rays of $SMET_5$ are all $2^4 - 1$ non-zero cuts and all $\binom{5}{2}$ anticuts $\alpha(\{a_1, a_2\}, \{a_3, a_4, a_5\})$ (permutations of $d(K_{2,3})$).

Are α' , except $\alpha'(\{1\}, [n] \setminus \{1\}) = \sum_{s=2}^n \delta'(\{s\}, [n] \setminus \{s\})$ and $\alpha'(\{1\}, \dots, \{n\}) = \delta'(\{n\}, \dots, \{1\})$, extreme in $QSMET_n$?

Extreme rays of $QSMET_4$, $QSMET_5$

$QSMET_4$ has 164 extreme rays in 10 orbits. Among 8 $\{0,1\}$ -valued ones (116 ext. rays of $BQSMET_4$), 5 are of $\neq 0$ **o-multicuts** (74 ext. rays of $OMCUT_4$), including o-cuts $\delta'(\{1\})$, $\delta'(\{1,2\})$ (14 ext. rays of $OCUT_4$), and 3 of **anti-o-multicuts** $\alpha'(\{1,2\}, \{3,4\})$, $\alpha'(\{1\}, \{2\}, \{3,4\})$, $\alpha'(\{1\}, \{2,3\}, \{4\})$.

Extreme rays of $QSMET_4$, $QSMET_5$

$QSMET_4$ has 164 extreme rays in 10 orbits. Among 8 $\{0, 1\}$ -valued ones (116 ext. rays of $BQSMET_4$), 5 are of $\neq 0$ **o-multicuts** (74 ext. rays of $OMCUT_4$), including o-cuts $\delta'(\{1\})$, $\delta'(\{1, 2\})$ (14 ext. rays of $OCUT_4$), and 3 of **anti-o-multicuts** $\alpha'(\{1, 2\}, \{3, 4\})$, $\alpha'(\{1\}, \{2\}, \{3, 4\})$, $\alpha'(\{1\}, \{2, 3\}, \{4\})$.

$QSMET_5$ has 229 orbits of extreme rays. Among 29 $\{0, 1\}$ -valued ones, 9 are of all **o-multicuts** $\delta'(S_1, \dots, S_t) \neq 0$ (including $\delta'(\{1\})$, $\delta'(\{1, 2\})$) and 7 are of **anti-o-multicuts**.

Only 3 $\{0, 1\}$ -valued ones consist of **weightable q-s-metrics**: 2 above orbits of o-cuts and one of anti-o-cuts $\alpha'(\{1, 2\})$.

Cones $PSMET_n$ and $I_1-PSMET_n$

cone	dim.	Nr. of ext. rays (orbits)	Nr. of facets (orbits)	diam.
$CUT_3=SMET_3$	3	3(1)	3(1)	1; 1
$CUT_4=SMET_4$	6	7(2)	12(1)	1; 2
CUT_5	10	15(2)	40(2)	1; 2
$SMET_5$	10	25(3)	30(1)	2; 2
CUT_6	15	31(3)	210(4)	1; 3
$SMET_6$	15	296(7)	60(1)	2; 2
$I_1-PSMET_3=PSMET_3$	6	13(5)	12(3)	
$I_1-PSMET_4$	10	44(9)	46(5)	
$PSMET_4$	10	62(11)	28(3)	
$I_1-PSMET_5$	15	166(14)	585(15)	
$PSMET_5$	15	1696(44)	55(3)	
$I_1-PSMET_6$	21	705(23)		
$PSMET_6$	21	337092(734)	96(3)	

$\{0, 1\}$ -valued partial semi-metrics

All such elements of $PSMET_n$ are $\sum_{0 \leq i \leq n} \binom{n}{i} B(n-i)$ elements ($\sum_{0 \leq i \leq n} Q(i)$ orbits under $Sym(n)$) of the form

$J(S_0) + \delta(S_0, S_1, \dots, S_t) = P(\sum_{1 \leq i \leq t} \delta'(S_i))$, where S_0 is any subset of $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $S_1, \dots, S_t$ is any partition of $\overline{S_0}$.

$2^{n-1} + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} \binom{n}{i} B(n-i)$ among them $(1 + \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} Q(i))$ orbits represent **extreme rays**: ones with $t = 2$ if $S_0 = \emptyset$ (w.l.o.g. suppose $S_i \neq \emptyset$ for $1 \leq i \leq t$).

Here **partition number** $Q(i)$ is the number of ways to write i as a sum of positive integers;

Bell number $B(i)$ is the number of partitions (multicuts) of $[i]$, while the numbers of cuts $= 2^{i-1}$, of o-cuts $= 2^i$, of o-multicuts is **ordered Bell number** $Bo(i)$ of ordered partitions of $[i]$.

$\{0, 1\}$ -valued partial semi-metrics

See below $p=((p_{ij}))=J(\{\mathbf{67}\})+\delta(\{\mathbf{1}\}, \{\mathbf{23}\}, \{\mathbf{45}\}, \{\mathbf{67}\})=P(q)$
 (0, 1-valued extreme ray of $PSMET_7$) and its quasi-semi-metric
 $q=((q_{ij}=p_{ij}-p_{ji}))=\delta(\{\mathbf{1}\})+\delta(\{\mathbf{23}\})+\delta(\{\mathbf{45}\})+\delta(\{\mathbf{67}\})$
 ($\{0, 1\}$ -valued non-extreme ray of $WQSMET_7$).

0	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1
1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	1
1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	1
1	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	1
1	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	1
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0

Unique orbit of **simplicial** (belong to $\binom{n+1}{2}-1$ facets) $\{0, 1\}$ -valued extreme rays of $PSMET_n$ consists of n rays $\sum_{1, i \neq j}^n \delta'(\{i\})$, $1 \leq j \leq n$, i.e. $J(\{j\})+\delta(\{j\}, S_1, \dots, S_{n-1})$ with all $|S_i|=1$.

Facets of l_1 -PSMET $_n$

Let $b = (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $\sum(b) = \sum_{i=1}^n b_i \in \{0, 1\}$. Then
hypermetric inequality $\text{Hyp}_p(b) : \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} b_i b_j p_{ij} \leq \sum_{i=1}^n b_i p_{ii}$
 and, for $\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |b_i| \leq 2$, **modular inequality**

$$A_p(b) : \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} b_i b_j p_{ij} \leq \sum_{i=1, b_i \neq 0}^n (2 - |b_i|) p_{ii}$$

are valid, for any $p = ((p_{ij})) \in l_1\text{-PSMET}_n$.

PSMET_n has 3 orbits of facets, represented by $p_{ii} \geq 0$,
 $\text{Hyp}_p(1, -1, 0, \dots, 0)$ and $\text{Hyp}_p(1, 1, -1, 0, \dots, 0)$.

Facets of l_1 -PSMET $_n$

Let $b = (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $\sum(b) = \sum_{i=1}^n b_i \in \{0, 1\}$. Then
hypermetric inequality $Hyp_p(b) : \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} b_i b_j p_{ij} \leq \sum_{i=1}^n b_i p_{ii}$
 and, for $\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |b_i| \leq 2$, **modular inequality**

$$A_p(b) : \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} b_i b_j p_{ij} \leq \sum_{i=1, b_i \neq 0}^n (2 - |b_i|) p_{ii}$$

are valid, for any $p = ((p_{ij})) \in l_1$ -PSMET $_n$.

$PSMET_n$ has 3 orbits of facets, represented by $p_{ii} \geq 0$,

$Hyp_p(1, -1, 0, \dots, 0)$ and $Hyp_p(1, 1, -1, 0, \dots, 0)$.

l_1 -PSMET $_3$ = PSMET $_3$.

l_1 -PSMET $_4$, besides 3 orbits of PSMET $_4$ has 2 orbits of facets, represented by $Hyp_p(1, 1, -1, -1)$, $A_p(2, 1, -1, -1)$.

l_1 -PSMET $_5$, besides 3 orbits of PSMET $_5$, has 12 orbits of facets including represented by $Hyp_p(b)$ with $b = (1, 1, 1, -1, -1)$, $(1, 1, -1, -1, 0)$, $(1, 1, 1, -1, -2)$, $(2, 1, -1, -1, -1)$ and $A_p(b)$ with $b = (2, 1, -1, -1, 0)$, $(2, 2, -1, -1, -1)$, $(2, 1, 1, -1, -2)$, $(3, 1, -1, -1, -1)$.

Generalities on oriented n -cubes

We consider only **oriented** (or **unidirectional**) **n -cubes**, since there is no bidirectional electrical/optical converter and full-duplex transmission in optical fiber networks is costly.

The number of all orientations of n -cube $H(n)$ is $2^{n2^{n-1}}$.

Robbins, 1939: connected graph has **strong orientation** (i.e. strongly connected) if and only if it is bridgeless.

The number of strong orientations of n -cube is unknown. It is 0, 2, $2 \times 3 \times 71$, $2 \times 11 \times 19 \times 19 \times 61613$ for $n = 1, 2, 3, 4$.

Generalities on oriented n -cubes

We consider only **oriented** (or **unidirectional**) **n -cubes**, since there is no bidirectional electrical/optical converter and full-duplex transmission in optical fiber networks is costly.

The number of all orientations of n -cube $H(n)$ is $2^{n2^{n-1}}$.

Robbins, 1939: connected graph has **strong orientation** (i.e. strongly connected) if and only if it is bridgeless.

The number of strong orientations of n -cube is unknown. It is 0, 2, $2 \times 3 \times 71$, $2 \times 11 \times 19 \times 19 \times 61613$ for $n = 1, 2, 3, 4$.

In n -cube (as in any oriented bipartite graph), any 2 directed paths joining two fixed points have lengths equal modulo 2.

So, **symmetrization** $\frac{q(x,y)+q(y,x)}{2}$ of quasi-metric $q=q(Q(n))$ of any its strong orientation $Q(n)$ is integer-valued.

O-diameter of oriented n -cube

Given a connected graph of diameter d and its strong orientation O , **oriented diameter** (or **o-diameter**) D_O is maximal length of shortest directed (u, v) -path.

Clearly, $D_O \geq d$; orientation O called **tight** if $D_O = d$.

Among strong orientations O of n -cube, $\min_O D_O = \infty, 3, 5$ and n for $n = 1, 2, 3$ and (McCanna, 1988) $n \geq 4$, respectively.

König-Krumme-Lazard, 1998: discrete torus $C_s \times C_t$ ($s \leq t$) is tight, except when 1) s, t are odd, 2) $s = 3$ and t is even, 3) $s = 4$ and t is odd, or 4) $s = 5$ and 4 divides t .

Everett-Gupta, 1989: there is an acyclic (so, not strong) orientation of n -cube with finite length of shortest directed (u, v) -path $\geq F_{n+1}$ (Fibonacci number), i.e. $> (\frac{3}{2})^{n-1}$.

A vertex i in a n -cube is called **even** if its binary expansion has even number of ones and **odd**, otherwise.

Connectivity

Given a digraph $D = (V, A)$, its **vertex-connectivity** κ (resp. **arc-connectivity** λ) is the minimum number of vertices (resp. arcs) needed to disconnect it. By Menger's theorem (max-flow-min-cut), κ (resp. λ) is minimum over $u, v \in V$ of the number of vertex- (resp. arc-) disjoint (u, v) -paths.

High connectivity of network D improve its fault-tolerance and communication performance (routing, broadcasting).

Connectivity

Given a digraph $D = (V, A)$, its **vertex-connectivity** κ (resp. **arc-connectivity** λ) is the minimum number of vertices (resp. arcs) needed to disconnect it. By Menger's theorem (max-flow-min-cut), κ (resp. λ) is minimum over $u, v \in V$ of the number of vertex- (resp. arc-) disjoint (u, v) -paths.

High connectivity of network D improve its fault-tolerance and communication performance (routing, broadcasting).


An **Hamilton** (u, v) -**path** in a graph is (u, v) -path visiting any vertex exactly once. In n -cube, it exists iff $d(u, v)$ is odd.

A graph is **k -vertex** (resp. **k -edge Hamiltonian**) if it remains Hamiltonian after deleting any k vertices (resp. edges).


A (di)graph is **Eulerian** if exists a (directed) circuit visiting any (arc) edge exactly once; eqv., it is (strongly) connected and any vertex v has $(\text{indegree}(v) = \text{outdegree}(v))$ even degree.

Mini-cubes $Q(n)$

1-cube $Q(1)$ has two orientations.




2-cube $Q(2)$ has two strongly connected orientations.




The **symmetrization** $D(Q(2)) = ((D_{ij})) = ((\frac{1}{2}(q_{ij} + q_{ji})))$ of its quasi-metric $q = ((q_{ij}))$ is $2d(K_4)$, while $H(2) = C_4$.

3-cube: Chou-Du orientation $Q_{CD}(3)$



Chou-Du orientation $Q_{CD}(n)$ come from 2 factors $Q_{CD}(n-1)$ with mutually reversed orientations (above inside, outside squares $Q_{CD}(2)$) and, on remaining matching, arcs from each even vertex to its odd match. The symmetrization of its quasi-metric $q_{CD}(3)$ is $2d(K_8 - C_{0527} - C_{6341})$.

3-cube: Chou-Du orientation $Q_{CD'}(3)$



For odd $n \geq 3$, **2nd Chou-Du orientation** $Q_{CD'}(n)$ come from two factors $Q_{CD}(n-1)$ with the same orientation (above inside and outside squares $Q_{CD}(2)$) and, on remaining matching, again arcs from each even vertex to its odd match.
 For even n , $Q_{CD'}(n) = Q_{CD}(n)$.

Chou-Du orientations CD, CD'

- Chou-Du, 1990: both $Q(n)$, as communication network (for high-speed computing using optical fibers as links), have efficient routing and short delay since are small:

oriented diameter: $n+1$ for even n and $n+2$ for odd $n > 1$ (for CD), 5 for $n=3$ and $n+1$ for other $n > 1$ (for CD') and

mean distance $\frac{n2^{n-1} + 2n\binom{n-1}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}}{2^n - 1}$, $\frac{n2^{n-1} + (n-1)\binom{n-1}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} + 2}{2^n - 1}$ (n odd).

Chou-Du orientations CD, CD'

- Chou-Du, 1990: both $Q(n)$, as communication network (for high-speed computing using optical fibers as links), have efficient routing and short delay since are small:


oriented diameter: $n+1$ for even n and $n+2$ for odd $n > 1$ (for CD), 5 for $n=3$ and $n+1$ for other $n > 1$ (for CD') and

mean distance $\frac{n2^{n-1}+2n\binom{n-1}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}}{2^n-1}, \frac{n2^{n-1}+(n-1)\binom{n-1}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}+2}{2^n-1}$ (n odd).

- Let $C(x, y)$ be a largest set of vertex-disjoint (x, y) -paths (**max-container**), $L(C(x, y))$: longest path length in $C(x, y)$.
Wide-diameter: $\max_{(x,y)} \min_{C(x,y)} L(C(x, y)); \geq \text{o-diameter}$
- Jwo-Tuan, 1998: CD, CD' are maximally fault-tolerant, since $|C(x, y)| \leq \min(\text{out}(x), \text{in}(y))$ become equality.


Lu-Zhang, 2002: wide-diameters of CD, CD' are $n+2$.

Chou-Du orientation $Q_{CD}(4)=Q_{CD'}(4)$



4-cube: McCanna orientation $Q_{MC}(4)$

McCanna, 1988, gave this **tight** (i.e. with oriented diameter $n = 4$) orientation of 4-cube.



Generalized McCanna orientation

For $n \geq 4$, **generalized McCanna orientation** $Q_{MC}(n)$ come from 2 factors $Q_{MC}(n-1)$ with same orientation and, on remaining matching, arcs from each even vertex to its odd match.

A vertex i in a n -cube is called **even** if its binary expansion has even number of ones and **odd**, otherwise.

- Its **oriented diameter** is minimal: n , i.e. $Q_{MC}(n)$ is **tight**.
- Its **vertex-** and **arc-connectivity** are maximal: $\kappa = \lambda = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$.
- Fraigniaud-König-Lazard, 1992: it is **Hamiltonian** iff $n \geq 5$.

n -cube: signature-defined orientations

Given an orientation O of n -cube, its **signature** is ± 1 -valued n -vector $a_O = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ with $a_i = +1$ if the edge $(0, 2^i)$ is oriented in O by arc $(0, 2^i)$ and $a_i = -1$ if this edge is oriented by (incoming to 0) arc $(2^i, 0)$.

Excess of signature is the difference e between number of 1's and -1 's in it. 0 is **source** if $e = n$ and **sink** if $e = -n$.

An orientation is **signature-defined** if any its arc is uniquely defined by arcs involving 0.

n -cube: signature-defined orientations

Given an orientation O of n -cube, its **signature** is ± 1 -valued n -vector $a_O = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ with $a_i = +1$ if the edge $(0, 2^i)$ is oriented in O by arc $(0, 2^i)$ and $a_i = -1$ if this edge is oriented by (incoming to 0) arc $(2^i, 0)$.

Excess of signature is the difference e between number of 1's and -1 's in it. 0 is **source** if $e = n$ and **sink** if $e = -n$.

An orientation is **signature-defined** if any its arc is uniquely defined by arcs involving 0.

It is **||-defined** if any its arc has the same orientation (from even to odd vertex) as the parallel edge involving 0.

Cariolaro: ||-defined orientation is strongly connected iff $|e| < n$.


Chou-Du orientation CD is ||-defined, while CD' , McCanna and Hamiltonian orientations are only signature-defined.

Hamiltonian decomposition of $H(n)$


Alspach-Bermond-Sotteau, 1990: edge-set of $H(n)$ can be decomposed into $\frac{n}{2}$ disjoint Hamilton cycles, if n is even, and into $\frac{n-1}{2}$ Hamilton cycles and a perfect matching, else.

For even n , $H(n) = C_4 \times \dots \times C_4$ ($\frac{n}{2}$ times) $\sim$ 4-ary $\frac{n}{2}$ -cube.

Stong, 2006: for odd n , **bidirected** Q_n decomposes into n **directed** Hamilton cycles.



Hamiltonian decomposition of $H(4)$



Number H_n of Hamilton cycles of $H(n)$

- In OEIS (On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences) H_n is the sequence A066037: number of Hamiltonian cycles in the binary n-cube, or the number of **cyclic n-bit Gray codes**.
- $H_n = \frac{1}{2}OH_n$, where OH_n is the sequence OEIS A003042: number of directed Hamiltonian cycles in n-cube.
- $H_n = \frac{n!}{2}EH_n$, where EH_n is the sequence OEIS A091302: number of equivalence classes of Hamiltonian cycles in n-cube. In fact, H_n is a multiple of $\frac{n!}{2}$ since, representing the vertices of n-cube as binary n-sequences, any directed cycle starting from the sequence of n zeroes induces a permutation on the n bits, namely the order in which they first get set to 1.
- The problem to find H_n was originated by Gilbert in 1958.

Number H_n of Hamilton cycles of $H(n)$

- Trivially: $H_2 = 1$ and $H_3 = 6 = 3!$.
- Parkhomenko, 2001: $H_4 = 1,344 = 4! \times 2^3 \times 7$.
- Dejter-Delgado, 2007:
 $H_5 = 906,545,760 = 5! \times 2^2 \times 617 \times 3,061 \approx 9.1 \times 10^8$.
- Shklyar-Deza, 2010: $H_6 = 14,754,666,508,334,433,250,560$
 $= 6! \times 2^4 \times 217,199 \times 1,085,989 \times 5,429,923 \approx 1.5 \times 10^{22}$.
 Finding $2H_6$ was problem 43 in Section 7.2.1.1 of *The Art of Computer Programming* by Knuth.
- Feder-Subi, 2009: $H_n = M_n^{2-o(1)}$, where M_n is the **number of perfect matchings of n-cube** given by A005271 OEIS. For $n=2, 3, 4, 5, 6$, M_n^2 is 4, 81, 73984, $\approx 3.5 \times 10^{11}$, $\approx 2.7 \times 10^{26}$.

All Hamilton cycles of $H(4)$

Parkhomenko, 2001: 4-cube has 1344 Hamilton cycles.

See Hamilton cycle $V = \{v_i\}$, $1 \leq i \leq 2^n$, as sequence $t(V) = \{1 + \lg_2 |t_i - t_{i+1}|\}$, $1 \leq i \leq 2^n$, where t_i is label of v_i . Then (up to $Sym(4)$, reversals and cyclic shifts) all cycles are:

A $\{8, 4, 2, 2\}$: 1 2 1 3 1 2 1 4 1 2 1 3 1 2 1 4;

B1 $\{6, 6, 2, 2\}$: 1 2 1 3 2 1 2 4 1 2 1 3 2 1 2 4,

B2 $\{6, 6, 2, 2\}$: 1 2 1 3 1 2 1 4 2 1 2 3 2 1 2 4;

C1 $\{6, 4, 4, 2\}$: 1 2 1 3 2 1 2 4 3 1 3 2 1 3 1 4,

C2 $\{6, 4, 4, 2\}$: 1 2 1 3 1 2 4 3 1 2 1 3 1 2 4 3,

C3 $\{6, 4, 4, 2\}$: 1 2 1 3 2 1 2 4 1 3 1 2 3 1 3 4,

C4 $\{6, 4, 4, 2\}$: 1 2 1 3 1 2 1 4 2 3 1 3 2 3 1 4,

C5 $\{6, 4, 4, 2\}$: 1 2 1 3 1 2 4 2 1 3 1 2 1 3 4 3;


D $\{4, 4, 4, 4\}$: 1 2 1 3 1 4 3 2 3 4 1 4 2 3 2 4.

Above **class** $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ lists numbers a_i of i in a cycle.

The edges **not** belonging to Hamilton cycle form $C_8 + C_4 + C_4$, $C_6 + C_6 + C_4$, $C_{10} + C_6$ and $C_8 + C_4 + C_4$ for A, B2, C1 and C5.

Exp.: complementary Hamilton cycles

The sequence $t(V) = \{1 + \lg_2 |t_i - t_{i+1}|\}$, $1 \leq i \leq 2^4$, of **red** **Hamilton cycle** is given by: 4 3 2 4 3 4 1 3 4 3 2 4 3 4 1 3;
 its permutation (4, 3, 1, 2) is: 2 1 3 2 1 2 4 **1 2 1 3 2 1 2 4 1**,
 a cyclic shift of which is B1: 1 2 1 3 2 1 2 4 1 2 1 3 2 1 2 4.
 Remaining edges form $\sim B1$: 1 3 2 1 2 4 **1 2 1 3 2 1 2 4 1 2**.




Hamilton orientations of $n=2m$ -cube

For any $n = 2m$ and a decomposition of the edge-set of $2m$ -cube into m disjoint Hamilton cycles, call **Hamilton orientation** any of 2^{m-1} orientations obtained by cyclically orienting those m cycles. Without loss of generality, orient 1st cycle arbitrary.

Any Hamilton orientation is signature-defined: number a_i uniquely identifies outgoing (if $a_i=1$) or incoming (if $a_i=-1$) to 0 Hamilton cycle and orientation on it. The number of 1's in its signature is $\frac{n}{2} = m$, i.e. its excess $e(a_O)$ is 0.

Orient arbitrarily 1st Hamilton cycle


Fix orientation of 1st (red) cycle and define orientation of 4-cube via orientation of 2nd (blue) Hamilton cycle.




Hamilton orientation $Q_{B1}(4)$

The edge-set of $H(4)$ decomposed into two complementary Hamilton cycles with one (so, both) of type B1.

Orientation $Q_{B1}(4)$ is defined by signature $(-1, 1 - 1, 1)$.




Hamilton orientation $Q_{B1}(4)$




Hamilton orientation $Q_{B1'}(4)$

The edge-set of $H(4)$ decomposed into two complementary Hamilton cycles with one (so, both) of type B1.

Orientation $Q_{B1'}(4)$ is defined by signature $(1, -1 - 1, 1)$.



Hamilton orientation $Q_{B1'}(4)$



Ten Hamilton orientations of $H(4)$

Edge-complement of Hamilton cycle h of 4-cube is another Hamilton cycle h^* if and only if $h = B1, C2, C3, C4, D$; moreover, $h^* \sim h$ under $Sym(4)$, shifting and reversals.

Orient h so to get arc $(0, 1)$ on it. Let O_h be orientation of $H(4) = h + h^*$ with arc $(2, 0)$ on h^* and by O'_h one with $(0, 2)$.

So, signature is $(1, 1, -1, -1)$ for all O_h , $(1, -1, -1, 1)$ for O'_h with $h = B1, C1$ and $(1, -1, 1, -1)$ for O'_h with $h = C3, C4, D$.

O-diameter is 6 for Q_{B1} and 5 for other 9. Q_{C3} has minimal, 4, $|\{(u, v) : q(u, v) = 5\}|$ and **mean** $q(u, v)$ (≈ 2.5); cf. 2 of $H(4)$.

Ten Hamilton orientations of $H(4)$

Edge-complement of Hamilton cycle h of 4-cube is another Hamilton cycle h^* if and only if $h = B1, C2, C3, C4, D$; moreover, $h^* \sim h$ under $Sym(4)$, shifting and reversals.

Orient h so to get arc $(0, 1)$ on it. Let O_h be orientation of $H(4) = h + h^*$ with arc $(2, 0)$ on h^* and by O'_h one with $(0, 2)$.

So, signature is $(1, 1, -1, -1)$ for all O_h , $(1, -1, -1, 1)$ for O'_h with $h = B1, C1$ and $(1, -1, 1, -1)$ for O'_h with $h = C3, C4, D$.

O-diameter is 6 for Q_{B1} and 5 for other 9. Q_{C3} has minimal, 4, $|\{(u, v) : q(u, v) = 5\}|$ and **mean $q(u, v)$** (≈ 2.5); cf. 2 of $H(4)$.



Conjecture: for any m , there exists a Hamilton orientation of $H(2m)$ with $2^m d(K_4 \times K_4 \times \cdots \times K_4)$ (m times) being the symmetrization of its quasi-metric. It holds for 2-cube (unique strong orientation) and 4-cube (orientation Q_{B1}).

Remind that $H(2m) = C_4 \times C_4 \times \cdots \times C_4$ (m times).

Hamilton orientations $O_B(4)$, $O_{B'}(4)$

Each Hamilton cycle $V = \{v_i\}$, $1 \leq i \leq 2^n$, as sequence $t(V) = \{1 + \lg_2 |t_i - t_{i+1}|\}$, $1 \leq i \leq 2^n$, where t_i is label of v_i , is

B1 $\{6, 6, 2, 2\}$: 1 2 1 3 2 1 2 4 1 2 1 3 2 1 2 4.





Hamilton orientations $O_{C_2}(4)$, $O_{C_2'}(4)$

Each cycle is **C2** $\{6, 4, 4, 2\}$: 1 2 1 3 1 2 4 3 1 2 1 3 1 2 4 3.

Wrapped grid G comes from $K_4 \times K_4$ on $((x_{ij}))$ by adding edges of $C_{11,22,33,44}$, $C_{12,21,43,34}$, $C_{13,24,42,31}$, $C_{14,23,41,32}$.

$2d(G)$ is symmetrization of quasi-metric of $O_{C_2}(4)$.

This quasi-metric differs from one of Chou-Du $Q_{CD}(4)$ only by permutation $(4, 8)(5, 9)(6, 10)(7, 11)$ of vertices.





Hamilton orientations $O_{C_3}(4)$, $O_{C_3'}(4)$

Each Hamilton cycle $V=\{v_i\}$, $1 \leq i \leq 2^n$, as sequence $t(V)=\{1 + \lg_2 |t_i - t_{i+1}|\}$, $1 \leq i \leq 2^n$, where t_i is label of v_i , is

C3 $\{6, 4, 4, 2\}$: 1 2 1 3 2 1 2 4 1 3 1 2 3 1 3 4.



In $O_{C_3}(4)$, $q(x, y) < 5$ except $(x, y) = (2, 10), (5, 4), (11, 3), (12, 13)$.



Hamilton orientations $O_{C_4}(4)$, $O_{C_4'}(4)$

Each Hamilton cycle $V=\{v_i\}$, $1 \leq i \leq 2^n$, as sequence $t(V)=\{1 + \lg_2 |t_i - t_{i+1}| \}$, $1 \leq i \leq 2^n$, where t_i is label of v_i , is



C4 {6, 4, 4, 2}: 1 2 1 3 1 2 1 4 2 3 1 3 2 3 1 4.



Hamilton orientations $O_D(4)$, $O_{D'}(4)$

Each Hamilton cycle $V=\{v_i\}$, $1 \leq i \leq 2^n$, as sequence $t(V)$, is **D**
 $\{4, 4, 4, 4\}$: 1 2 1 3 1 4 3 2 3 4 1 4 2 3 2 4.

In $O_D(4)$, $q(x, y) < 5$ except $(x, y) = (0, 14), (6, 8), (10, 4), (12, 2)$
 and $(3, 13), (5, 11), (9, 7), (15, 1)$. In $O_{D'}(4)$, $q(x, y) = 5$ 10 times.




Inclusion (or Boolean) orientation $Q_I(n)$

Label vertices $0 \leq x \leq 2^n - 1$ of n -cube by subsets

$$A_x = \{1 \leq i \leq n : x_i = 1\} \text{ of } [n] = \{1, \dots, n\}.$$

Inclusion orientation $Q_I(n)$: do arc AB if $A \subset B$ and $|B \setminus A|=1$.

Its **path quasi-semi-metric** is $|B \setminus A|$ if $A \subset B$ and $=\infty$, else, while **measure q-s-metric** on $(\Omega = [n], \mathcal{A} = 2^{[n]}, \mu)$ is $\mu(B \setminus A)$.



It becomes strongly connected if add sink-source arc $(2^n-1, 0)$.

RD (Recursively Directed) hypercubes

Domshlak, 2002: **RD 1-cube** is an arc with nodes labeled 0 and 1; **RD (n+1)-cube** comes from identical RD n-cubes, H_n^0 and H_n^1 , by adding arbitrarily directed arcs between each their *twin* (i.e. having same label) nodes and prefixing labels in H_n^0 by 0 and in H_n^1 by 1.

Such orientations of hypercubes represent a preference order over outcomes, defined by a Conditional Preference Network (CP-net). They generalize **inclusion** one and belong to **unique-sink** ones.

Any RD n-cube is acyclic and have unique source s and unique sink t . For any node v , $\max_v d(s, v) \leq n \leq \max_v d(v, t)$. But largest finite distance $d(u, v)$ is exponential in n for some RD n-cubes. .





Unique-sink orientations

An orientation of n -cube is called **unique-sink orientation** if every face has unique sink.

Examples:

- 1) the inclusion orientation $Q_I(n)$ and the arc-reversal of it on any fixed **matching** (set of disjoint edges) M of n -cube;
- 2) every acyclic orientation with unique-sink **on each 2-face**;
- 3) the **Klee-Minty orientation** $Q_{KM}(n)$: if the binary expansions of vertices $x, x' \in H(n)$ differ only in i -th position, then do arc (xx') if $\sum_{i \leq j \leq n} x_j$ is odd and arc $(x'x)$, otherwise.

3-cube: some unique-sink orientations

Inclusion orientation $Q_I(3)$ Klee-Minty orientation $Q_{KM}(3)$ (62,31,54)-reversed $Q_I(3)$ (62,31)-reversed $Q_I(3)$

Digression: Klee-Minty orientation

Klee-Minty orientation: if the binary expansions of vertices $x, x' \in H(n)$ differ only in i -th position, then do arc (xx') if $\sum_{i \leq j \leq n} x_j$ is odd and arc $(x'x)$, otherwise.

It is acyclic unique-sink orientation; moreover, each face has unique source.

It comes from combinatorial model (Avis-Chvatal, 1978) of **Klee-Minty cubes**, 1972, i.e., linear programs whose polytopes are deformed n -cubes (with skeleton of $H(n)$) but for which some pivot rules follow path through all 2^n vertices and hence, need exponential number of steps.

Some references on quasi-metrics

M.Charikar, K.Makarychev and Y.Makarychev, *Directed Metrics and Directed Graph Partitioning Problems*, Proc. of 17th ACM 5 by the sequence OEIS 5 by the sequence OEIS-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms (2006).

M.Deza and E.Deza, *Quasi-metrics, directed multcuts and related polyhedra*, European J. of Combinatorics, Special Issue “Discrete Metric Spaces”, **21-6** (2000) 777–796.

M.Deza, M.Dutour and E.Deza, *Small cones of oriented semi-metrics*, American Journal of Mathematical and Management Sciences **22-3,4** (2003) 199–225.

P.Hitzler, *Generalized Metrics and Topology in Logic Programming Semantics*, PhD Thesis, Dept. Mathematics, National University of Ireland, Univ. College Cork, 2001.

S.G. Matthews, *Partial metric topology*, Research Report 212. Dept. of Computer Science. Univ. of Warwick, 1992.

A.N.Patrinou and S.L.Hakimi, *Distance matrix of a graph and its tree realization*. Quart. Appl. Math. **30** (1972) 255–269.

References on oriented n -cubes

B. Alspach, J.C. Bermond and D.Sotteau, *Decompositions into cycles I: Hamilton decompositions*, in *Cycles and rays*, G.Hahn et alAA003042: number of directed Hamiltonian cycles (or Gray 003042: number of directed Hamiltonian cycles (or Gray c. (eds.) Kluwer Academic Press (1990) 9–18.

D. Avis and V. Chvatal, *Notes on Bland's Pivoting Rule*, Math. Programming Study, **8**(1978) 24–34.

G.Chartrand, D.Erwin, M.Raines, P.Zhang, *Orientation distance graphs*, Journal of Graph Theory **36-4** (2001) 230-241.

C-H.Chou and D.H.C.Du, *Uni-Directional Hypercubes*, in *Proc. Supercomputing '90*, (1990) 254–263.

H.Everett, A. Gupta, *Acyclic Directed Hypercubes may have Exponential Diameter*, Information Processing Letters **32-5** (1989) 243–245.

P.Fraigniaud, J-C.König, E.Lazard, *Oriented hypercubes*, Networks **39-2** (2002), 98–106.

J-S.Jwo and T-C.Tuan, *On container length and connectivity in*

References on oriented n -cubes

D.W.Krumme, *Fast Gossiping for the Hypercube*, SIAM J. Comput. **21-2** (1992) 365–380.

C.Lu and K.Zhang, *On container length and wide-diameter in unidirectional hypercubes*, Taiwanese Journal of Math. **6-1** (2002) 75–87.

J.Matousek, *The Number Of Unique-Sink Orientations of the Hypercube*, Combinatorica, **26-1** (2006) 91–99.

J.E.McCanna, *em Orientations of the n -cube with minimum diameter*, Discrete Mathematics **68** (1988) 309–310.

P.P.Parkhomenko, *Classification of the Hamiltonian Cycles in Binary Hypercubes*, Automation and Remote Control **62-6** (2001) 978–991.

H.E.Robbins, *A Theorem on Graphs with an Application to Traffic Control*, Amer. Math. Monthly **46** (1939) 281–283.

R.Stong, *Hamilton decompositions of directed cubes and products*, Discrete Mathematics **306-18** (2006) 2186–2204.